

Nancy S. Grasmick
State Superintendent of Schools

200 West Baltimore Street • Baltimore, MD 21201 • 410-767-0100 • 410-333-6442 TTY/TDD

TO:

Members of the State Board of Education

FROM:

Nancy S. Grasmick

DATE:

February 23, 2010

RE:

Federal Update

PURPOSE:

The purpose of this agenda item is to update the State Board on the President's proposed budget for federal fiscal year 2011.

BACKGROUND:

On Monday, February 1, 2010, President Obama released his Fiscal Year 2011 budget proposal containing \$3.8 trillion in spending and tax cuts and the "blue print" focused on job creation with the intent to move the country from recession to recovery and beyond. The budget proposal paves the way for Congress to begin work on the priorities outlined and for the budget committees to start work on a budget resolution which provides spending levels for the 12 appropriations committees. Federal fiscal year 2011 begins on October 1, 2010.

Overall, the budget proposes a three-year freeze on discretionary spending with the exception of programs involving national security, Medicare, Medicaid, Social Security and education. Specifically, the budget proposal makes significant investments in education with the intent to build upon the assurances and goals of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) as well as to incentivize Congress to reauthorize a comprehensive Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).

SUMMARY:

Total Discretionary Spending for Education

The budget proposes \$49.7 billion in discretionary funding (excluding funding for Pell grants) for the US Department of Education (an increase of \$3.5 billion over FY 2010) along with an additional \$1 billion (\$50.7 billion) if Congress completes an ESEA reauthorization that includes the President's proposed reforms. The budget proposal supports the funding for a comprehensive restructuring of the ESEA program authorities.

Education Priorities and Reforms

The budget request aligns federal education resources with key priorities and principles that are guiding the development of the Administration's ESEA reauthorization proposal.

Members of the State Board of Education February 23, 2010 Page 2

The education budget proposal is organized around the following priorities:

- Promoting Innovation in Education
- Effective Teachers and School Leaders
- Supporting Student Success
- Improving STEM Education
- All Students College-and Career-Ready
- College Access and Completion
- Higher Education Programs
- Improving Outcomes for Adult Learners
- Research, Statistics, and Data Systems

Continuation of ARRA Competitive Funds:

A key principle of the Administration's overall budget plan is an emphasis on positive incentives and recognition of and rewards for success. As part of that thinking, the administration's plan would ask for additional funding for two current competitive ARRA programs:

- Race to the Top (RTTT): an additional \$1.35 billion for state and local competition.
- <u>Investing in Innovation (i3) Program</u>: an additional \$500 million to develop and expand innovative strategies and practices.

A Shift to Competitive Funding

While the vast majority of funding will still be allocated on a formula basis, the administration's plan would shift from approximately 17% to 28% of the funds being awarded on a competitive basis. The key programs such as Title I and IDEA would still be allocated on a formula basis but the Administration is hopeful to have additional funds to be used in part to reward schools under certain criteria.

ESEA Reauthorization and Funding

The Administration's plan would include a \$3 billion increase for the key ESEA priorities (or \$4 billion if Congress approves the reauthorization consistent with the President's plan). The budget replaces and reorganizes several existing ESEA programs (such as Title I and Title II Teacher Quality), consolidates 38 authorities into 11 new programs and embeds proposed authorizing language requirements into many of the new programs as a signal of its intent to drive the ESEA reauthorization this year. As an additional demonstration of emphasizing incentives and rewards, the administration has proposed a "\$1 billion ESEA Contingent Reserve" to provide additional funding for certain programs to recognize and reward schools and LEAs that are making significant progress and closing achievement gaps; support high-quality assessments, including formative assessments; and support expanded learning time, community schools and other programs that provide additional time for students and teachers to succeed.

Members of the State Board of Education February 23, 2010 Page 3

Highlights of New/Restructured Programs (Examples of Key Program Reconfiguration for ESEA)

<u>College and Career-Ready (CCR) Students program (currently Title I)</u>: is funded at \$14.5 billion (level funded) and would include changes in the areas of standards and assessments, accountability and support for schools and LEAs, and teacher quality.

- States would need to adopt statewide standards that build toward CCR and to implement high-quality assessments aligned with CCR standards.
- States would be required to develop a definition of "effective teacher" that is based in significant part on student learning, and to put in place a system that links the academic achievement and growth of students to their teachers and school leaders.
- The reauthorization plan would replace "adequate yearly progress" with a broader picture of school performance that looks at student growth and school progress. States would measure school performance and differentiate schools on the basis of progress in getting all subgroups of students on track to CCR, the growth of individual students toward CCR, progress toward closing subgroup achievement gaps, graduation rates and other measures as appropriate.
- Schools that are showing significant improvement or showing progress towards the CCR goal for all groups of students in the school would be eligible for recognition and rewards. Persistently low-performing schools would be required to implement significant change. States would measure progress and differentiate rewards and interventions for LEAs in a manner similar to the requirements for schools.

School Turnaround Grants (currently School Improvement Grants): is funded at \$900 million to help LEAs carry out rigorous school intervention models in their lowest-performing schools. While states and LEAs would have new flexibility under the reauthorized ESEA to develop their own improvement strategies and interventions for most schools, they would be required to implement specific, meaningful intervention models in their lowest-performing schools and would receive school turnaround funding for this purpose.

<u>Assessing Achievement program (currently State Assessments</u>): is funded at \$450 million to support development and implementation of new assessments aligned with Common Core Standards.

<u>Teacher and Leader Innovation Fund (combines Teacher Incentive Fund)</u>: is funded at \$950 million and builds on the strengths of the Teacher Incentive Fund (TIF) to support SEA and LEA efforts to develop and implement innovative improvements in human capital systems.

Members of the State Board of Education February 23, 2010 Page 4

<u>Teacher and Leader Pathways (consolidates 5 current programs)</u>: is funded at \$405 million to promote alternative routes to certification for teachers and school leaders and improve existing teacher and principal preparation programs into a more flexible competitive grant program with a greater focus on student outcomes.

<u>Effective Teaching and Learning for a Complete Education (consolidates many current programs)</u>: is funded at \$1 billion and is designed to improve instruction to support CCR standards, in part through the use of technology to deliver high-quality content. There are three components:

- 1. Literacy
- 2. STEM
- 3. A Well-Rounded Education

Expanding Educational Options (consolidates 5 current programs): is funded at \$490 million to support the creation and expansion of effective charter schools, other effective autonomous schools and comprehensive systems of public school choice.

<u>Promise Neighborhoods</u>: is a new program funded at \$210 million to make competitive awards to community-based organizations for comprehensive neighborhood programs designed to combat the effects of poverty and improve educational and life outcomes for children.

<u>Successful</u>, <u>Safe</u>, and <u>Healthy Students</u> (consolidates 6 current programs): is funded at \$410 million designed to give local communities the flexibility to focus on their greatest needs in the areas of improving school climate and safety: promoting student physical and mental health, preventing student drug and alcohol use, and expanding family and community engagement.

Program Elimination

The budget is proposing to eliminate 7 programs for a total of \$122.6 million. The two largest programs include:

- Leveraging Educational Assistance Partnerships (\$64 million)
- Byrd Honors Scholarships (\$42 million)

ACTION:

For Information Only

Attachments (2)

Attachment 1

Specific Consolidation of ESEA Reauthorization Programs (Crosswalk)

Effective Teachers and Leaders:		Ready to Teach Teacher Quality State Grants	
Teacher and Leader Innovation Fund		Advanced Credentialing Teacher Incentive Fund	
Teacher and Leader Pathways		School Leadership Teach for America Teacher Quality Partnership Teachers for a Competitive Tomorrow Transition to Teaching	
	Effective Teaching and Learning: Literacy	Even Start Literacy through School Libraries National Writing Project Reading is Fundamental Ready-to-Learn Television Striving Readers	
Effective Teaching and Learning for a Complete Education	Effective Teaching and Learning: STEM	Mathematics and Science Partnerships	
	Effective Teaching and Learning for a Well-Rounded Education	Teaching American History Academies for American History and Civics Civic Education Close-Up Fellowships Excellence in Economic Education Foreign Language Assistance Arts in Education	
National Activities College Pathways and Accelerated Learning		Educational Technology State Grants Advanced Placement High School Graduation Initiative	
Successful, Safe and Healthy Students		Javits Gifted and Talented Education Alcohol Abuse Reduction Elementary and Secondary School Counseling Foundations for Learning Mental Health Integration in Schools Physical Education Safe and Drug Fee Schools and Communities National Activities	
Expanding Educational Options		Charter Schools Grants Credit Enhancement for Charter School Facilities Parental Information and Resource Centers Smaller Learning Communities Voluntary Public School Choice	

FY 2011 Key Programs (Program funding comparison against previous years)

Federal Draguero	FY09	EV10 (Emasted	EX/11 D 1 4
Federal Program	r r uy	FY10 (Enacted with omnibus bill)	FY11 Budget Request
CCR (Replace Title	\$14.5 billion	\$14.5 billion	\$14.5 billion
I)	\$14.5 UIIIUII	\$14.5 01111011	\$14.5 01111011
School Turnaround	\$546 million	\$546 million	\$900 million
Assessing	\$411 million	\$411 million	\$450 million
Achievement		φ+11 IIIIIIIIII	THE STATE OF THE S
Special Education	\$11.5 billion	\$11.5 billion	\$11.8 billion
(IDEA)		ψ11.5 omion	
IDEA Preschool	\$374 million	\$374 million	\$374 million
IDEA Infants Part C	\$439 million	\$439 million	\$439 million
Career Tech	\$1.16 billion	\$1.16 billion	\$1.264 billion
Tech Prep	\$103 million	\$103 million	0
Race to the Top		4130 11111131	\$1.35 billion
Investing in			\$500 million
Innovation			
Statewide	\$65 million	\$58.3 million	\$65 million
Longitudinal Data			
Effective Teaching	\$979 million	\$920 million	\$1.02 billion
and Learning	With Title II D (Ed	With Title II D	Imbeds Title IID in
(consolidates many	Tech grants)		programs
programs)	,		
Effective teachers	\$2.95 billion	\$2.95 billion	\$2.5 billion
and leaders (replaces	Title II, A (Teacher		
Title IIA)	Quality)		
Teacher and leader	\$108 million	\$411 million	\$950 million
Innovation	Includes TIF and	Includes TIF and	
	Advanced	Advanced	
	Credentialing	Credentialing	
Teacher and leader	\$115 million	\$136 million	\$405 million
pathways			
(consolidates many			
programs)			
College Pathways	\$51 million	\$103.3 million	\$100 million
and Accelerated			
Learning			
(consolidates 4			
programs)	Φ411 '11'	Φ411 '11'	Φ470 :11:
Assessing	\$411 million	\$411 million	\$450 million
Achievement	ф270 ·11'	Φ400 '11'	Φ400 '11'
Expanding Educational Options	\$370 million	\$409 million	\$490 million
Educational Options			
(six current			

programs)			
Promise	0	\$10 million	\$210 million
Neighborhoods			
Successful, Safe and	\$310 million	\$365 million	\$410 million
Healthy Students			
21 st Century	1.13 billion	1.17 billion	1.17 billion
community Learning			
Centers		,	
English Learner	\$730 million	\$750 million	\$800 million
Education (Title III)			'

^{*}This grid attempts to align the proposed changes to many of the current programs. Therefore, the funding levels may not be exact.